

Program Review

Teri Henson and Jill Carbone
Program Review Committee Co-Chairs

Town Meeting
April 2, 2014

Program Review

Where have we been?

Where are we now?

Where are we going?

Are we there yet?!

Program Review

GOALS

- ▣ Integrate Program Review into institutional planning
 - Annually
 - Long-range
- ▣ Create a sustainable, continuous cycle of improvement in line with accreditation standards
- ▣ Capture necessary reflection about program data and SLOs

Program Review

History

▣ Fall 2013:

- Academic and Student Services programs write Annual Program Review (APR)
- Each APR read by the Division Dean and by a team consisting of two Program Review Committee members and/or volunteers
- Each APR summarized by the PRC team that read it
- Teams and Deans meet to discuss summary results

Program Review

The Program Review Committee would like to thank the following Administrators, Program Review Committee members, and volunteers from the Faculty and Classified Staff for their participation in the reading and summarization process (v=volunteer reader):

Jill Carbone

Peter Cardin (v)

Bill Eddy (v)

Lisa Everett

Justin Garoupa (v)

Teri Henson

Elizabeth Hopkins (v)

Tina Inzerilla (v)

Dyan Miller

Jason Morris

Barbara Morrissey

Robin Roy

Sylvia Rodriguez

Karin Spirn

Catherine Suarez

Mark Tarte (v)

Nadiyah Taylor

Angella VenJohn

Cheryl Warren

James Weston (v)

Michelle Zapata (v)

All of us enjoyed to opportunity to learn about your programs and the important work you do to support and teach our students!

Program Review

- ▣ Spring 2014
 - Deans write Division Program Review Summaries
 - Division Summaries sent to Vice Presidents and President for integration into planning and budgeting for 2014-15
 - Integrated Planning Committee (IPC) convenes
 - ▣ IPC will prepare planning recommendations based on Dean Summaries and other documents

Program Review

- ▣ Spring 2014
 - Program Review Committee conducts intensive review and evaluation of the fall program review process and the template utilizing:
 - ▣ Program Review surveys from fall 2013
 - ▣ Dialogue with Deans, program review writers and program review readers
 - ▣ Special session devoted to Student Services experience with program review

Program Review

- ▣ What worked well:
 - Reading and summarization process
 - Useful summaries were produced
 - We learned about your programs and needs
 - We learned valuable lessons about the form and process

Program Review

▣ Lessons Learned

- Annual Program Review (APR) template did not work as well as we had hoped
 - ▣ Unsuitable for non-instructional programs in Student Services
 - ▣ Some sections were confusing to writers
 - ▣ Took more time to write than anticipated
 - ▣ APR form too long
 - ▣ Too much lag time between review and implementation

Program Review

- ▣ Looking ahead
 - Central dilemma: The outcomes of program review have to be in a useful form for the users of those outcomes (Administration, Committees)
 - We need feedback – especially from the IPC
 - There are many details yet to be worked out about how integrated planning occurs, what information is needed, and when, the timing of program review, ...
- ▣ For these reasons ...

Program Review

- ▣ Next year,
 - The APR template will be revised into the Triennial Program Review template
 - ▣ TPR phased in over three years, starting in fall of 2015.
 - In fall of 2014, programs will write a planning update and resource request, with a brief review of essential data
 - ▣ Data provided by IR office will include Fall 2012 – Spring 2014
 - ▣ Programs may review of AY 2012-13 and AY 2013-14
 - The updates will be used in integrated planning and budgeting for 2015-16